1 2 3 4	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO, CSBN 44332 United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909 Assistant United States Attorney							
-	·							
5 6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7124 FAX: (415) 436-7169							
7	Attorneys for Defendants							
8								
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION							
12	SYED WAJAHAT HUSSAIN,) No. C 08-0946 MEJ							
13	Plaintiff,							
	v.							
14	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary of the ONFERENCE STATEMENT; and	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENTCONFERENCE STATEMENT; and[PROPOSED] ORDER						
15	Department of Homeland Security; (PROPOSED] ORDER ROBERT S. MUELLER,							
16								
17	Defendants.							
18								
19	1. Jurisdiction and Service							
20	The basis asserted by plaintiff for this Court's jurisdiction is 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b). The parties	S						
21	do not dispute that venue is proper in this district. No issues exist regarding personal jurisdiction	n						
22	or venue, and no parties remain to be served.							
23	2. Facts							
24	The plaintiff is a lawful permanent resident of the United States who filed an application for							
25	naturalization with USCIS on May 6, 2005. The USCIS has not yet adjudicated plaintiff's							
26	naturalization application.							
27	3. Legal Issues							
28	Whether this Court may compel the USCIS to adjudicate a naturalization case prior to a full							
	Joint Case Management Statement C 08-0946 MEJ 1							

Casse 3 038 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Documentt 190	FF116eed10055/1155/2200088	Pagge 22 off 44					
criminal background check has been completed.								
4. Motions								
The Plaintiff filed his motion for remand, and Defendants will respond by May 23, 2008.								
Plaintiff's motion is set for a hearing on June 19, 2008 at 10:00 a.m.								
5. Amendment of Pleadings								
No parties, claims or defenses a	No parties, claims or defenses are expected to be added or dismissed.							
6. Evidence Preservation								
The parties do not have any evidence that falls within this category.								
7. Disclosures								
The parties believe that the initial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 do no								
this case.								
8. Discovery								
The parties do not intend to take any discovery in this case at this time.								
9. Class Actions								

2008.

N/A

10. Related Cases

12. Settlement and ADR

14. Other References:

Joint Case Management Statement

11. Relief

naturalization.

C 08-0946 MEJ

The parties are not aware of any related case or cases.

13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes

special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

The parties consent to the assignment of this case to a magistrate judge.

The Plaintiff asks this Court to direct Defendants to adjudicate his application for

The Court granted the parties' request to be exempt from the formal ADR process on May 1,

The parties do not believe that this case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a

15. Narrowing of Issues

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

The parties do not believe that the issues can be narrowed by agreement or by motion, and do not have suggestions to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial (e.g., through summaries or stipulated facts), and any request to bifurcate issues, claims or defenses.

16. Expedited Schedule

The parties' believe this case can be resolved on the motion for remand as the only dispute is over what the Court's instructions on remand would be.

17. Scheduling

If necessary, the parties will propose an evidentiary hearing date after the Court makes a ruling on Plaintiff's motion for remand.

18. Trial

The parties believe this matter can be resolved through motions. Should the Court retain jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b), the parties will request an evidentiary hearing date.

19. Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons:

The Plaintiff intends to file the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16.

20. Such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter

19 None.
20 ///
21 ///
22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

Joint Case Management Statement C 08-0946 MEJ

	Casse 3 038 cov 0009466 WEJ	Document 190	FFI (eech) 0055/1155/200038	Page 4 of 4			
1	Dated: May 15, 2008	R	espectfully submitted	,			
2			OSEPH P. RUSSONIE	ELLO			
3		U	nited States Attorney				
4		π	A C. DEISS ¹				
5		A	ssistant United States ttorneys for Defendan	Attorney			
6							
7	Dated: May 14, 2008		/s/				
8	•		USTIN X. WANG ttorney for Plaintiff				
9							
10	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER						
11 12	The Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order are hereby adopted by the						
13	Court as the Case Management Order for the case, and the parties are ordered to comply with this						
14	Order. The May 22, 2008 CMC is VACATED.						
15	Dated: May 15, 2008		M				
16		M U	IARI JAJA JAME	ES ee Judge			
17		·					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25 26							
26 27	I IIa Deiss, hereby attest the	nat I have on file o	all holograph signature	e for any signatures			
28	¹ I, Ila Deiss, hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this e-filed document.						
20	Joint Case Management Statement						
	C 08-0946 MEJ	4					